

Monetary Evaluation of Dispatching Decisions in Consideration of Mode Choice Models

Dipl.-Ing. Marcel Schneider

Institute of Transport Science RWTH Aachen, Germany

Agenda







Problem Description

- There are two process levels in railway operations research
 → timetable construction and railway operations
- Occurring conflicts between trains on the same route are solved according to the trains' priorities
- Aim of dispatching is the quickest possible restoration of normal operations
- The chosen dispatching decisions result in reactionary delays for minimum one of the involved trains
- These delays are used for an evaluation of railway quality
 → An acceptable sum of waiting times is defined and compared to existing waiting times (quality indicator)
- Limit is based on a survey of experienced dispatchers and only exists for strategic network planning and long planning horizons

 \rightarrow The consideration of the impact on **end-customers** is missing



Problem Description

New approach:

- Switch to single dispatching decisions (short planning horizon) with adaption of future dispatching decisions (long planning horizon)
- Consider the impact of delays on the end-customers expressed by revenue changes
- By linking to variable costs a monetary evaluation of dispatching decisions depending on different train priorities is achieved
- Consider monetary value for following dispatching decisions
- → Coupling of railway operations simulation and mode choice models













Microscopic simulation of railway operations with input parameters:

- Infrastructure data (tracks, nodes, signals, paths, speed, overtaking tracks, ...)
- train data (commercial type, speed, weight, priority, ...)
- zero-conflict timetable
- delay characteristics of trains (probability of occurrence, average delay)
- Simulation generates delays based on statistical data for the occurrence and duration of delays of each train (bases on DB guideline 405)
 → saved in a disruptions list
- Occurring conflicts are solved according to train priorities (higher priorities are privileged)





Different types of delays are considered

- model entry lateness
- initial delays
- reactionary delays

Exact location of delays is crucial

- at the nodes
- on the track (between two nodes)





























Mode Choice Model

- Calculation of passenger or good demand in consideration of competitive transport modes (Modal Split)
- Applied mode choice model for passenger service based on traffic resistances

```
service parameter 'objective'

r = t \cdot TPF(t) [RU]
l
time perception function 'subjective'
```

Curve of a time perception function

$$TPF(t) = a \cdot e^{b \cdot t}$$



Mode Choice Model – Long-Distance Journey





Mode Choice Model – Long-Distance Journey

Each part of the journey is represented by an individual resistance with appropriate perception



- There are different perceptions for waiting times on the platform or inside the train (exact location of delays is crucial)
- Each transport mode has its own chain of resistances





Monetary Evaluation





Monetary Evaluation

Evaluation of dispatching decisions with appropriate delays depending on the assigned priorities

impact on revenues R for each train	impact on costs C for each train
route (number of seats and load factor) number of travellers travel purpose fare	amortisation of vehicle maintenance of vehicle energy consumption train crew
modal-split	(time-dependent)

Evaluation in comparison to the zero-conflict timetable for each train j

$$\Delta CM_{j} = \Delta R_{j} - \Delta C_{j} = (R_{tt,j} - R_{cr,j}) - (C_{tt,j} - C_{cr,j})$$
$$\Delta CM_{cr} = \sum \Delta CM_{i}$$

i







 \rightarrow Optimal allocation of priorities what affects following dispatching decisions



Conclusions

- Coupling of simulation tools in railway operations and mode choice models
- Simulated conflict resolutions based on train priorities
- Resulting waiting times are evaluated by the end-customers
- Linking revenues and variable costs to the contribution margin
- Modification of train priorities leads to a change of the contribution margin as compared to the original timetable conditions
- → Optimal allocation of priorities with appropriate conflict resolutions and minimised monetary loss



